Short separation channel location impacts the performance of short channel regression in NIRS
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Abstract

Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) allows the recovery of cortical oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin changes associated with evoked brain activity. NIRS is a back-reflection measurement making it very sensitive to the superficial layers of the head, i.e. the skin and the skull, where systemic interference occurs. As a result, the NIRS signal is strongly contaminated with systemic interference of superficial origin. A recent approach to overcome this problem has been the use of additional short source-detector separation optodes as regressors. Since these additional measurements are mainly sensitive to superficial layers in adult humans, they can be used to remove the systemic interference present in longer separation measurements, improving the recovery of the cortical hemodynamic response function (HRF). One question that remains to answer is whether or not a short separation measurement is required in close proximity to each long separation NIRS channel. Here, we show that the systemic interference occurring in the superficial layers of the human head is inhomogeneous across the surface of the scalp. As a result, the improvement obtained by using a short separation optode decreases as the relative distance between the short and the long measurement is increased. NIRS data was acquired on 6 human subjects both at rest and during a motor task consisting of finger tapping. The effect of distance between the short and the long channel was first quantified by recovering a synthetic hemodynamic response added over the resting-state data. The effect was also observed in the functional data collected during the finger tapping task. Together, these results suggest that the short separation measurement must be located as close as 1.5 cm from the standard NIRS channel in order to provide an improvement which is of practical use. In this case, the improvement in Contrast-to-Noise Ratio (CNR) compared to a standard General Linear Model (GLM) procedure without using any small separation optode reached 50% for HbO and 100% for HbR. Using small separations located farther than 2 cm away resulted in mild or negligible improvements only.
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Introduction

Over the past 15 years, Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) (Gibson et al., 2005; Hillman, 2007; Hoshi, 2007; Oblig and Villringer, 2003; Villringer et al., 1993) has emerged as a complement to functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) for mapping the hemodynamic response associated with cerebral activity. NIRS non-invasively measures the temporal variations of the two dominant chromophores in the near-infrared window: oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO) and deoxygenated or reduced hemoglobin (HbR).

The advantages of NIRS for the investigation of brain activity include the measurement of both HbO and HbR concentrations, its low cost, and its portability. The portability of NIRS enables long-term monitoring of the hemodynamic response associated with, for instance, epileptic activity at the bedside (Roche-Labarbe et al., 2008). Disadvantages of NIRS include modest spatial resolution of the order of one to three centimeters and limited penetration depth (Boas et al., 2004).

A common problem with NIRS recordings is the presence of strong physiology-based systemic interference in the signal which reduces the accuracy of NIRS for detecting brain activation. This interference arises from cardiac activity, respiration and other homeostatic processes (Diamond et al., 2009; Oblig et al., 2000; Payne et al., 2009; Tonorov et al., 2000). The contribution of this interference in the NIRS signal is amplified because the light is both introduced and collected at the surface of the scalp. This back-reflection geometry makes NIRS very sensitive to the superficial layers of the head which contain no brain signal but exhibit strong systemic fluctuations. As
such, the NIRS signal is often dominated by systemic interference occurring in the superficial layers of the head including the scalp and the skull.

Several methods have been described in the literature to remove the systemic interference from NIRS measurements. Some post-processing algorithms include low pass filtering (Franceschini et al., 2003; Jasdzewski et al., 2003), principal component analysis (Franceschini et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2005) and wavelet filtering (Jang et al., 2009; Lina et al., 2008, 2010; Matteau-Pelletier et al., 2009). Multi-distance NIRS measurements with layered models and path length weighted methods are also being investigated (Umeyama and Yamada, 2009a,b; Yamada et al., 2009). Other methods include the subtraction of a NIRS channel acquired in a non-activated region of the brain from the signal of interest to reduce the systemic interference (Franceschini et al., 2003).

A more refined version of this method is to simultaneously collect additional NIRS measurements using short source-detector (SD) separation channels (generally shorter than 1 cm), which are sensitive to superficial layers only (Saager and Berger, 2005). Assuming that the signal collected with these additional short separation measurements is dominated by the same systemic interference present in the longer SD channels, the small separation signals can be used as regressors to filter the systemic interference from the longer SD measurements. Several algorithms have been developed to perform the regression of the small separation measurements. These include linear minimum mean square estimation (LMMSE) (Saager and Berger, 2005, 2008; Gregg et al., 2010; Saager et al., 2011), adaptive filtering (Zhang et al., 2007), and state-space modeling with Kalman filter estimation (Gagnon et al., 2011).

An important question which was not addressed in these previous papers is the impact that the relative location of the short and long SD channels has on the performance of the short separation method. If good performance is obtained using a short separation channel located far away from the standard long SD channel, then a single short separation channel can be used as a regressor for all longer SD channels on the probe. On the other hand, the performance of the short separation method potentially worsens as the relative distance between the short and the long SD channel increases. In this case several short separation channels would be required and only those closest to the long SD channels would be suitable for regression.

The main contribution of this paper is to quantify the performance of the short separation method as a function of the relative distance between long SD NIRS channels (3 cm) containing the brain signal and short separation (1 cm) channels used as regressors. We investigated this relationship with both simulations and real functional data. NIRS measurements including several short separation channels spread across the probe were acquired on 6 human subjects. The simulations were performed by adding a synthetic hemodynamic response to the resting-state NIRS data. NIRS signals were also collected during a series of finger tapping blocks for each of the 6 subjects. In both cases, the performance of the short separation regression was characterized for different short SD regressors located at different distances from the standard 3 cm channel.

**Methods**

**Experimental data**

For this study, 6 healthy adult subjects were recruited. The Massachusetts General Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the study and all subjects gave written informed consent. Data were collected using a TechEn CW6 system operating at 690 and 830 nm. The NIRS probe contained 5 sources and 12 detectors as shown in Fig. 1A. This source-detector geometry resulted in 14 long SD measurements (3 cm) and 7 short SD measurements (1 cm). A set of 200 μm-core fibers was used for the short separation detector optodes to avoid saturation of the photodiode. These fibers are illustrated in orange in Fig. 1. An alternative to avoid photodiode saturation could be the use of standard NIRS fibers with optical filters at the tip of the probe to attenuate light intensity. The probe was secured over the left motor region of each subject as illustrated in Fig. 1B. One of the short separation measurements was acquired over the forehead. In this probe, the relative distances center-to-center between the short and the long channels take the values 1.4, 1.7, 2.4, 3.3, 4.2, 5.2 or 6.2 cm. Examples are given for each case in Fig. 1C. The forehead short separation channel was located more than 10 cm away from any 3 cm channel.
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Even though the data will be further low pass filtered and long SD measurements were bandpass filtered, their right thumb with each of the fingers of their right hand alternately at a rate of 3 Hz. Following the three functional runs, three baseline runs of 5 min each were acquired. During the baseline runs, subjects were asked to touch a small 0.5-by-0.5 cm white square located at the middle of the screen appeared and the subjects were asked to fixate on this square. During the finger tapping blocks, the instruction “tap your fingers” was displayed in white characters on the computer screen using the Psychophysics toolbox in Matlab (Brainard, 1997). At that time, the subjects were asked to touch their right thumb with each of the fingers of their right hand alternately at a rate of 3 Hz. Following the three functional runs, three baseline runs of 5 min each were acquired. During the baseline runs, the subjects were asked to simply close their eyes and remain still.

Data processing

An overview of the procedure is shown in Fig. 3. Both the short and long SD measurements were bandpass filtered at 0.01–1.25 Hz. Even though the data will be further low pass filtered at 0.5 Hz in the processing stream, it is important to keep the 0.5–1.25 Hz frequency band here, since most of the cardiac oscillations are contained in this frequency band. These cardiac oscillations are strongly present in both the short and the long SD measurements and this increases the baseline correlation between the short and the long separation channel. These cardiac oscillations guide the dynamic estimation of the superficial contamination to more accurately estimate the HRF. As we’ve shown recently (Gagnon et al., 2011), prefiltering the cardiac oscillations reduces the performance of the dynamic estimation and results in a poorer estimate of the HRF. For both the simulations and the real functional data analysis, the Kalman filter algorithm was used to regress the short separation measurement and recover the hemodynamic response simultaneously. This algorithm was described in detail previously (Gagnon et al., 2011) and only the salient points are reviewed here.

The hemodynamic response was modeled by

\[ h[n] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} w_i b_i[n]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( b_i[n] \) are normalized Gaussian functions with a standard deviation of 0.5 s and their means separated by 0.5 s. \( N_v \) is the number of Gaussian functions used to model the hemodynamic response and was set to 15 for our simulations (Section Simulations) and 79 for our finger tapping data to recover the HRF over 0–8 s and 0–40 s respectively. The signal in the 3 cm separation channel \( y_3[n] \) was modeled by a linear combination of the 1 cm separation signal \( y_1[n] \) and the brain response \( y_i[n] \). The expression for the 3 cm signal is given by

\[ y_3[n] = y_6[n] + a \cdot y_1[n] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with

\[ y_6[n] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h[k] u[n-k]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and where \( u[n] \) is the onset vector which is a binary vector taking the value 1 when \( n \) corresponds to a time when the stimulus was presented and 0 otherwise. It is to note that \( u[n] \) is equal to 1 only at the onset of the stimulus and not throughout the duration of the stimulus.

The variable \( a \) is the dynamic weight used to model the superficial signal in the 3 cm separation channel as a linear combination of the short separation signal. Only a single time delay was taken from the short separation channel to model the superficial signal in the 3 cm channel since this has been shown to result in a better performance in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011). The states to be estimated by the Kalman filter were the weight of the superficial contribution \( a \) and the weights of the temporal bases \( w_i \). All these weights were assumed to be time-varying. Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) can be re-written in state-space form:

\[ x[n+1] = Ax[n] + w[n] \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ y_3[n] = C[n] x[n] + v[n] \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( w[n] \) and \( v[n] \) are the process and the measurement noise respectively. \( x[n] \) is the \( n^{th} \) instance of \( x \) given by

\[ x = [w_1 \ldots w_N_v \ a]^T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The quantity \( I \) is an \( N_w + 1 \) by \( N_w + 1 \) identity matrix and \( C[n] \) is a 1 by \( N_w + 1 \) vector given by

\[ C[n] = [u \ast b_1[n] \ldots u \ast b_{N_w}[n] \ y_1[n]]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)
where “*” denotes the convolution operator. The estimate \( \hat{x}[n] \) at each sample \( n \) is then computed using the Kalman filter (Kalman, 1960) followed by the Rauch–Tung–Striebel smoother (Rauch et al., 1965).

The convergence of the Kalman filter depends on the initial estimate of the state vector \( \hat{x}[0] \). To overcome this problem, \( \hat{x}[0] \) was set to the values obtained using a static least-squares estimator as in Gagnon et al. (2011) to ensure a fast convergence. Moreover, to overcome the problem of selecting a good initial guess for the state covariance estimate \( P[0] \), the Kalman filter algorithm was run twice and the initial covariance estimate for the second run was set to the final covariance estimate of the first run. This process makes the performance of the filter almost insensitive to the initial covariance estimate. For the first pass of the Kalman filter, we set \( P[0] \) to an identity matrix with diagonal entries of \( 1 \times 10^{-1} \) for the temporal basis states and \( 5 \times 10^{-6} \) for the superficial contribution state. The process noise covariance \( Q \) only contained nonzero terms on the diagonal elements. Those diagonal terms were set to \( 2.5 \times 10^{-1} \) for the temporal basis states and \( 5 \times 10^{-6} \) for the superficial contribution state. The measurement noise covariance \( R \) was set to an identity matrix scaled by \( 5 \times 10^{-2} \). These values were extensively studied in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011) and multiplying or dividing these values by factor of 10 did not significantly affect the performance of our method. The Kalman filter algorithm was then processed with the following prediction–correction recursion (Gelb, 1974):

\[
\hat{x}[n|n-1] = \hat{x}[n-1|n-1] \tag{8}
\]

\[
P[n|n-1] = P[n-1|n-1] + Q. \tag{9}
\]

\[
K[n] = P[n|n-1]C[n]^{-1} \left( C[n]P[n-1|n-1]C[n]^{-1} + R \right)^{-1} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\hat{x}[n|n] = \hat{x}[n|n-1] + K[n](y[n] - C[n]\hat{x}[n|n-1]) \tag{11}
\]

\[
P[n|n] = (1 - K[n]C[n])P[n|n-1]. \tag{12}
\]

After the Kalman algorithm was applied twice, the Rauch–Tung–Striebel smoother was applied in the backward direction (Haykin, 2001):

\[
\hat{x}[n|N] = \hat{x}[n|n] + P[n|n]P[n+1|n]^{-1}(\hat{x}[n+1|N] - \hat{x}[n+1|n]) \tag{13}
\]

with \( N \) the number of time points in the data. The complete time course of the filtered brain signal \( y_{\text{fi}}[n] \) containing the estimated hemodynamic response \( h[n] \) was then reconstructed for each sample time \( n \) using the first \( N_{\text{fo}} \) final state estimates \( \hat{x}_{\text{b}} = [w_{1} - w_{2}]^{T} \) and the temporal basis set contained in \( C[n] \)

\[
y_{\text{fi}}[n] = C[n]\hat{x}_{\text{b}}[n|N_{\text{fi}}]. \tag{14}
\]

This reconstructed filtered brain signal time course \( y_{\text{fi}}[n] \) was further low pass filtered at 0.5 Hz to remove any cardiac fluctuations potentially present in the time course and the final estimate of the hemodynamic response \( h[n] \) was obtained either by applying a standard General Linear Model (GLM) procedure (without any cosine bases or short separation regressor) containing the same temporal basis function as in Eq. (1) or by block-averaging \( y_{\text{fi}}[n] \). More details can be found in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011).

Simulations

For each baseline measurement, the changes in optical density were converted to changes in hemoglobin concentrations using the modified Beer-Lambert relationship (Boas et al., 2004; Cope and Deply, 1998; Deply et al., 1988). A pathlength correction factor of 6 and a partial volume correction factor of 50 were applied (Huppert et al., 2006a,b). The variance in all 252 (6 subjects \( \times 3 \) runs \( \times 14 \) pairs) baseline HbO and HbR time courses from the 3 cm measurements was then computed. To ensure a uniform distribution of the noise in our simulations, only the time courses showing a variance below \( 25 \mu M^2 \) were kept in the analysis, corresponding to 28.2% of the data (71 of the 252 baseline time courses). We have also tested and confirmed that our method was working for higher levels of noise. Due to the non uniform level of noise across the probe, this threshold of \( 25 \mu M^2 \) was required in order to compare all distances on equal footing.

Ten individual evoked responses were added over all 71 selected 3 cm baseline measurements at random onset times with an inter-stimulus interval taken randomly from a uniform distribution (10–30 s). This procedure was repeated 30 times for each baseline measurement to create 30 simulated time courses with 30 different onset times and ensure reproducible averaged results. The duration of the synthetic response was 8 s. The HbO time course increased by 15 \( \mu M \) at the peak while the HbR time course decreased by 7 \( \mu M \). The synthetic hemodynamic response was the same used in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011). The resulting 2130 time courses (71 time courses \( \times 30 \) simulated runs) were then bandpass filtered (0.01–1.25 Hz) and passed to the Kalman filter algorithm (Fig. 3) using each of the seven short separation (1 cm) measurements available as a regressor. The HRF was also recovered using a standard GLM with a set of cosine basis with 64 s period cutoff (Friston et al., 2000) for comparison (no short separation used). This resulted in 17,040 estimated HRFs (2130 time courses \( \times 8 \) regressors (7 short separations + 1 standard GLM with cosine basis set)). The HbO and HbR responses were recovered independently. For each 1 cm–3 cm combination, the baseline \( R^2 \) coefficient before adding the synthetic HRF to the 3 cm channel was computed.

For each short separation used, the relative center-to-center distance between the 3 cm and the short-separation channel was computed. With the probe shown in Fig. 1A, the possible relative distances were 1.4, 1.7, 2.4, 3.3, 4.2, 5.2 or 6.2 cm as well as >10 cm for the forehead channel and are illustrated in Fig. 1C.

The quality of each recovered HRF was quantified by three different metrics: (1) the Pearson correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) between the true synthetic HRF (tHRF) and the recovered HRF (rHRF), (2) the mean square error (MSE) between tHRF and rHRF and (3) the Contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) defined as the amplitude of rHRF divided by the root-mean-square (RMS) of the residual of tHRF and rHRF

\[
\text{CNR} = \frac{\max(\text{rHRF})}{\sqrt{\text{RMS}(\text{tHRF}) - (\text{rHRF})}} \tag{15}
\]

The average for each of these three metrics across all the recovered HRFs for each specific relative distance was computed and the results were compared to the corresponding averaged metrics obtained from the HRFs recovered with the standard GLM (no short separation) using a two-tailed paired t-test. As in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011), we used a paired t-test to resolve for small systematic differences. For the Pearson \( R^2 \) metric, the average was taken after applying a Fisher transformation and the resulting average was then back transformed. This comparison was performed for all 8 relative distances (1.4, 1.7, 2.4, 3.3, 4.2, 5.2, 6.2 cm and forehead).

This entire procedure was then repeated after introducing a time-lag in the short separation channel. For each 1 cm–3 cm combination, the cross-correlation function between the two channels before adding the synthetic HRF was also computed and a time-lag corresponding to the maximum of the cross-correlation function was applied to the short separation measurement. This time-lag could be any number in the interval \( [-N_{\text{fi}},N_{\text{fi}}] \) with \( N_{\text{fi}} \) the number of time point in the NIRS time course but typical values obtained from our data ranged from \(-4\) to \(4\) s for both HbO and HbR. The values for \( R^2 \), MSE and CNR.
obtained by introducing a time-lag were also compared with the zero-lag values with a two-tailed paired t-test.

The cross-correlation function used to identify the optimal time-lag was normalized such that the zero-lag value corresponded to the Pearson R² coefficient

\[ R_{y_1,y_3}[m] = \frac{R_{y_1,y_3}[m]}{\sigma_{y_1} \sigma_{y_3}} \]  

(16)

with \( \sigma_{y_i} \) the standard deviation of \( y_i[n] \). The maximum of this normalized cross-correlation function is the equivalent to shifting one of the channels by the optimal time-lag before computing the standard correlation and thus we will refer to this value as the optimal time-lag correlation for the rest of the text. To avoid any confusion, we will refer to the standard R² correlation as the zero-lag correlation. The zero-lag and the optimal time-lag correlations were also compared using a two-tailed paired t-test of their Fisher transformed values.

**Functional data**

The functional data were analyzed in the same way as above with the Kalman filter, but the HRFs were recovered from 0 to 40 s after the stimulus onsets. Each 3 cm channel was analyzed using each of the seven short separation channels available and also with a standard block-average for comparison.

**Results**

**Baseline correlation**

The correlation (Pearson R²) between the baseline NIRS time courses is shown in Fig. 4. In panels (A) and (B), the correlation between the 3 cm separation and the short separation channels are plotted as a function of their relative distance on the probe. These values are identified by the label “no time-lag” in the legends. The optimal time-lag correlation values are also plotted and identified by the label “optimum time-lag” in the legends. We observed a fast decay of both the zero-lag and the optimal time-lag correlations as the distance between the two channels went from 1.4 to 2.4 cm and the correlation then plateaued from 2.4 to 6.2 cm. This trend was observed for both HbO and HbR. The optimal time-lag correlation values obtained were slightly higher (but significant at the \( p < 0.05 \) level, two-tailed paired t-test) than the zero-lag correlation for all relative distances on the probe. It is good to re-emphasize that the statistical test is pairwise such that a small but constant difference across the sample is marked as significant. The optimal time-lags obtained increased with increasing relative distances indicating that this slight improvement in correlation was real and not due to potential artifact in the processing. Finally, the increases in correlation obtained by introducing time-lag were slightly more prominent for HbR than HbO.

In panels (C) and (D) of Fig. 4, both the zero-lag and the optimal time-lag correlations between two short separation channels are
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plotted as a function of their relative distance on the probe. A similar fast decay was observed as the relative distance between the two channels increased from 1 to 2 cm on the probe and then the correlation plateaued for longer distances. The values for the optimal-time lag correlations in this case were significantly higher ($p < 0.05$, two-tailed paired t-test) compared to the zero-lag correlations.

**Simulation results**

The results for the synthetic HRF simulations are shown in Figs. 5–7 for the $R^2$, MSE and CNR metric respectively. On panels (A) and (B) of all three figures, the three metrics are plotted as a function of the relative distance between the 3 cm separation and the short separation channel used as a regressor. Values obtained by introducing a time-lag in the short separation channel are also shown as well as the corresponding values obtained using a standard GLM. We observed a fast decrease of the improvement obtained by the Kalman filter as the relative distance between the 3 cm and the short separation channel was increased from 1.4 to 2.4 cm. The performance then plateaued for longer relative distances. Both the $R^2$ (Fig. 5) and the CNR (Fig. 7) decreased as the relative distance between the long- and short separation channels was increased, while the MSE (Fig. 6) increased. Using a short separation channel located 1.4 cm away from the channel containing the synthetic HRF resulted in a mean increase in CNR of 50% for HbO and 100% for HbR relative to the GLM method. Using a short separation channel located farther than 2 cm away from the channel containing the synthetic HRF resulted in significant ($p < 0.05$, two-tailed paired t-test) but negligible improvements of the order of a few percent compared to the standard GLM procedure. Again, we re-emphasize that the statistical test is pairwise such that a small but constant difference across the sample is marked as significant. However the magnitude of the difference is small.

On panels (C) and (D) of Figs. 5–7, the same $R^2$, MSE and CNR results are plotted as a function of the baseline zero-lag correlation (Pearson $R^2$) between the 3 cm and the short separation channels. Results obtained by introducing a time-lag in the short separation channel are also plotted as a function of the baseline optimal time-lag correlation. We observed a linear relationship between the improvement obtained with the Kalman filter and both the baseline zero-lag correlations and optimal time-lag correlations between the two channels. A baseline correlation greater than 0.8 resulted in a mean improvement in CNR of 50% and 100% compared to the standard GLM for HbO and HbR respectively.

**Functional data results**

Each run of finger tapping was analyzed independently for each subject. The SD pair showing the strongest functional response was selected manually for each subject. To avoid any bias toward the

---

**Fig. 5.** Effect of the relative distance on the correlation between the recovered HRF and the true HRF. Panels (A)–(B) show the recovered $R^2$ as a function of the distance between the short and the long NIRS channel. Panels (C)–(D) show the recovered $R^2$ as a function of the baseline $R^2$ between the short and the long NIRS channel. The value recovered using a standard GLM with no small separation is also shown for comparison. Statistical differences at the $p < 0.05$ level are indicated with horizontal black lines (two-tailed paired t-test). The statistical test is pairwise such that a small but constant difference across the sample is marked as significant.
Kalman filter method, both the Kalman filter and the standard block-average results were taken into account independently. The criteria for selecting the responses were a sustained increase in HbO and a sustained decrease in HbR (Cui et al., 2010), as well as a sustained increase in HbT to avoid pial vein washout contamination. Based on these criteria, the selected channel from the block-average matched the one selected from the Kalman filter result for each subject, although the HRF recovered with the block-average showed weak activation for three of the six subjects. For each individual subject, the HRFs recovered from the selected channel are shown for the first run in Fig. 8. Results from a single run are presented to illustrate the power of our method and the high CNR achieved with only 6 individual finger tapping blocks. Results from the second and the third run were very similar. Columns 1–4 illustrate the corresponding HRFs (same 3 cm channels) recovered using: (1) a block-average with no small-separation channel, (2) the Kalman filter with the small-separation channel located in the forehead as a regressor, (3) the Kalman filter with the short separation channel located 2.4 cm away as a regressor and (4) the Kalman filter with the closest short separation channel (located 1.4 cm away) as a regressor.

For subjects 1, 4 and 6, the HRFs recovered without using the closest short separation channel (column 4). For subjects 2, 3 and 5, a clear activation was present whether the Kalman filter was used or not. For subjects 2, 3 and 4, a strong artifact present between 15 and 30 s was removed using the Kalman filter with the closest short separation channel. Removing this artifact made the HRFs more constant during the duration of the stimulus (0–30 s).

Discussion

Systemic interference measured by NIRS is inhomogeneous across the scalp

Systemic interference measured in NIRS has been termed “global” interference previously in the literature (Saager and Berger, 2005; Saager et al., 2011; Umeyama and Yamada, 2009a; Zhang et al., 2007a,b). In contradiction, our present results indicate that systemic interference is actually inhomogeneous across the surface of the scalp, that is, the correlation between systemic interference measured at two different locations decreases with the increasing relative distance between the two measurements. Although the short separation channel measurements might contain some cortical signal, Monte Carlo simulations have shown that this contribution is negligible for a SD distance of 1 cm (Zhang et al., 2007a). As such, panels (C) and (D) of Fig. 4 indicate that the origin of this decorrelation is located in the superficial layers of the head and therefore, is not due to
autoregulation mechanisms occurring in the brain tissue (Payne et al., 2009).

Although introducing a time-lag re-established one third of the correlation between the two short separation measurements, the other two thirds of the R² correlation was still lost after introducing time delays. This finding indicates that only part of the correlation decay can be explained by simple transit time effects across different locations on the scalp. The systemic interferences measured in NIRS are oscillatory processes containing three dominant components (Lina et al., 2008). These are the cardiac pulsations around 1 Hz, respiratory oscillations around 0.4 Hz and other low frequency oscillations (including Mayer waves (Julien, 2007)) around 0.1 Hz. Analyses similar to the one presented in Fig. 4 were performed with the NIRS data bandpass filtered at 0.01–0.2 Hz, 0.2–0.5 Hz and at 0.5–3 Hz (see Supplementary Figs. 9, 10 and 11). These frequency bands correspond to the low frequency, respiratory and cardiac oscillations respectively. These analyses revealed a decay in correlation with increasing relative distances in all these three frequency bands. Although the correlation decayed, it never reached zero even for low frequency oscillations, which is in agreement with recent findings by Tong and deB Frederick (2010). Up to 1/4 and 1/2 of the correlation lost in the 0.2–0.5 Hz band and the 0.5–3 Hz band respectively could not be re-established by introducing a time-lag and future studies will be required to investigate the origin of this correlation decay.

Impact on the short separation method

This fast decrease in correlation with increasing relative distance has an important impact on the performance of the short separation method. Panels (C) and (D) of Figs. 5–7 illustrate that all three metrics used (R², MSE and CNR) varied linearly with the baseline correlation, whether a time-lag was used or not. Since the baseline correlation decreased with the relative distance as shown in Fig. 4, we expected the performance of the short separation method assessed with these
three metrics to decrease with the relative distance. This expectation was confirmed by our simulations and is shown in panels (A) and (B) of Figs. 5–7. For relative distances larger than 2 cm, only mild improvements were obtained using our short separation approach compared to the standard GLM method. No decrease in performance was observed using our Kalman filter with any of the available short separations. This is consistent with our previous findings (Gagnon et al., 2011) that the Kalman filter improves or doesn’t change recovery of the hemodynamic response. At worst, the recovered response will be the same as the one recovered with a standard GLM with no small separation used. To obtain larger improvements that are useful in practice, the short separation channel must be located no more than 1.5 cm from the 3 cm channel from which the HRF is to be recovered.

For large NIRS probes containing several long SD measurements spread over several centimeters, our results indicate that multiple short separation channels are required in order to combine each long-separation measurement with a short separation channel within a 1.5 cm radius. In this case, our simulations indicate that the improvement in CNR using the short separation method is of the order of 50% for HbO and 100% for HbR, as shown respectively in panels (A) and (B) of Fig. 7. As in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011), we observed an improvement for both HbO and HbR, in contradiction with Zhang et al. (2009) where no improvement was observed for HbR using an adaptive filter method. In the simulations of our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011), we also observed a decrease in performance for HbR using an adaptive filter. As we showed, our Kalman filter approach overcomes this pitfall by regressing the short separation measurement and simultaneously estimating the hemodynamic response.

The necessity of using several short separation channels on the probe was also confirmed by our finger tapping experiment. Using the short separation channel located at 2.4 cm from the 3 cm SD measurement, the HRF obtained from subjects 1, 4 and 6 showed a weak activation pattern only, as shown in Fig. 8. On the other hand, the activation became very clear on these same channels using the short separation channel located 1.4 cm away. The baseline correlations between the short separation and the long-separation channels were around 0.3 for the short separation channel located 2.4 cm away and around 0.5 for the short separation channel located 1.4 cm away. This re-emphasizes the fact that the initial baseline correlation between the short separation and the 3 cm channel is an important factor in determining the performance of the Kalman filter algorithm. In practice, this baseline correlation can be computed to predict the impact of using short optode separations. In our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011), we showed that the presence of a hemodynamic response

![Fig. 8. Finger tapping results from a single run containing 6 individual blocks. For each subject, the trace from the channel containing the strongest functional response is shown. (1) Standard block-average (2) Kalman filter with the forehead short separation channel. (3) Kalman filter with the short separation channel located at 2.4 cm. (4) Kalman filter with the short separation channel located at 1.4 cm.](image-url)
in the 3 cm channel does not impact the baseline initial correlation between the short separation and the 3 cm channel. This occurs because the contribution of systemic interference in NIRS largely dominates the contribution of the hemodynamic response. This was confirmed here with our real functional data.

How many regressors should be used?

In all the simulations presented in this paper, we used a single regressor at a time. We also ran simulations using multiple regressors simultaneously but all of them resulted in a significantly higher MSE and lower CNR compared to using the single short separation channel. This is explained by a potential overfitting of the data. When more than one regressor is used at the same time, we start fitting noise in the data which introduces errors in the estimation of the hemodynamic response. The same thing occurred in our previous paper (Gagnon et al., 2011) when a single regressor was used but multiple time delays from this regressor were used in the regression. To avoid overfitting the data and to obtain the maximum power from the short separation method, we have found that on average a single regressor performs better than two or more.

Alternatives for modeling the physiological interference

Alternative methods for modeling the physiological interference have been proposed in the literature. Prince et al. (2003) used a set of sine and cosine functions to model the oscillatory behavior of the systemic physiology. The linear coefficients of these temporal bases were included as additional states in the state-space model. Alternatively, Abdelnour and Huppert (2009) used a set of sine functions only but included the phase as an additional state. These methods were implemented and compared with the short separation method. In both cases, the short separation approach performed better compared to these modeling techniques. These models contain a higher number of degrees of freedom (i.e. larger number of state) which potentially introduces crosstalk between the state corresponding to the hemodynamic response and the state corresponding to the systemic physiology. This phenomenon degrades the estimation of the hemodynamic response. The short separation method reduces the number of degrees of freedom and reduces crosstalk by measuring directly the systemic interference in the superficial layers of the head and therefore, results in a more accurate estimation of the hemodynamic response.

Future studies

In this work, a small detector fiber was placed in proximity of each source fiber, resulting in a single small separation channel for every long SD channel. An interesting question is whether or not an additional short separation channel located in proximity of the detector fiber would further improve the recovery of the hemodynamic response. Doing so would maximize the overlap between the pathlength of the small separation channels and the longer SD channel, and might result in a further improvement of the small separation method. However, care must be taken to ensure that the additional source fibers do not inadvertently saturate the detectors and to ensure that the fiber optic probe remains flexible for efficient coupling with the scalp. We have begun to investigate the improvement of additional short separation measurements on the long separation source and long separation detector simultaneously. Our preliminary evidence indicates that as expected the results get better. For sure, we can still use a single short separation regressor but choose the one which has a higher correlation with the long separation measurement; either the short separation coincident with the long separation source, or the long separation detector. When we use both short separation regressors we have to ensure that we are not over fitting the data. Sometimes using both short separation regressors will actually degrade our estimate of the HRF, and thus statistical model testing needs to be implemented to determine if one or two regressors should be used.

Conclusion

In this study, we have determined that the position of the short separation NIRS channel relative to the long-separation channel impacts the performance of the short separation regression method to improve the recovery of the hemodynamic response in NIRS. We showed that the relative distance between the channel of interest and the regressor must be less than 1.5 cm to have a meaningful impact on the recovery of the hemodynamic response. In this case, improvements in CNR were of the order of 50% for HbO and 100% for HBr compared to the standard GLM approach. When a short separation channel located farther than 2 cm was used as regressor, only minor improvements were obtained compared to the standard GLM method, which are of little practical use. This decrease in performance for longer relative distances results from a decrease in the baseline correlation between the channel of interest and the regressor. Our results indicate that this correlation decay is due to spatially inhomogeneous hemodynamics in the superficial layers of the head.

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.095.
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